91-80

May 30, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL - 5 2007

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Salley 1627 153rd Ave SE Bellevue, WA 98007-6139

> No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

FOR SCOTT SALLEY

Complaint Type:	,	Account Type:	Congressional Complaint						
IC Number:	07-F0278520	Case Type:	·						
Date Received:	06/14/2007	Complainant:	Scott Salley						
Date Entered:	06/21/2007	Date Assigned:	06/21/2007						
Entered By:	PORTALSV1	Date Reassigned:							
Assigned To:	Judy Camel/FCCIN	Service Date:							
Date Closed:	· '···································	Response Date:							
Closed By:	Allen and Alexander Samuel Community	Original Analyst:	and the first control of the second of the s						
Close Letter Needed?	Yes O No	Purged By:	Purged Date:						
		Removed By:	Removed Date:						
Supervisor Check:	○ Yes ● No	Indecency Referral Code:							
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<<< Check here Problem Number:	Yes<<< Check here if you wish to serve both a Wireline and Wireless carrier.								
Title: None	First Name: Scott	Middle Initial:	Last Name: Salley						
Contact Name:	Scott Salley	Best Time to Call:							
Contact Number:	Ext.	Consumer's Telephone Numb	ber: Ext.						
Fax Number: Email Address:		TTY Number:							
PO Box:		Internet Address: Address:	1627 153Rd Ave Se						
City:	Bellevue	State: WA	Zip : 98007						
On Behalf Of:									
Company Name:									
Party's Name:		Relationship with the	e Party:						
Party's Contact N	umber: Ext.	PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip:							
Other Party that c	an be contacted?	Oity.otate.cip.							
Name:		Relationship:							
Contact Number:	Ext.	Address: City:, State: Zip:							
**Amount of credit F	FCC effort generated:	Duplicate Credit Checke	id:○ Yes ● No						

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b. Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved

with your complaint, including area code:

Phone: Ext:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint:

97-80

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL - 5 7907

May 26, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Ryan Smallman 75 Beacon St 3rd Fl Hamden, CT 06514-4012

> No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E

FOR RYAN SMALLMAN

Complaint Type:		Account Type:	Congressional Compl
IC Number:	07-F0278899	Case Type:	<u> </u>
Date Received:	05/25/2007	Complainant:	Ryan Smallman
Date Entered:	06/27/2007	Date Assigned:	06/27/2007
Entered By:	PORTALSV1	Date Reassigned:	
Assigned To:	Judy Camel/FCCIN	Service Date:	
Date Closed:		Response Date:	a die die gegen de deutsche Speit der Engelein der Amerikanstein der Engelein der Engelein der Engelein der En
Closed By:		Original Analyst:	
Close Letter Needed?	Yes No	Purged By:	Purged Date:
		Removed By:	Removed Date:
Supervisor Check:	◯ Yes ● No	Indecency Referral Code:	
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<<< Check here	ere if you wish to serve both a l	Vireline and Wireless carrier.	
Problem Number:			
Title: None	First Name: Ryan	Middle Initial:	Last Name: Smallman
Contact Name:	Ryan Smallman	Best Time to Call:	
Contact Number: Fax Number:	Ext.	Consumer's Telephone Numb	per: Ext.
Email Address:		Internet Address:	
PO Box:		Address:	75 Beacon St 3Rd Fl
City:	Hamden	State: CT	Zip : 06514
On Behalf Of:			
Company Name:			
Party's Name:		Relationship with the	e Party:
Party's Contact N		PO Box:	
	umber: Ext.	Address:	
Other Bestudent -		Address: City:State:Zip:	
_	an be contacted?	City:State:Zip:	
Name:	an be contacted?	City:State:Zip:	
Other Party that on Name: Contact Number:		City:State:Zip:	

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b. Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved

with your complaint, including area code:

Phone: Ext:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint:

97-80

Jun 16, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL - 5 2007

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation of the commission legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests reary waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhonda McDougall 6900 SW 195th Ave Unit 181 Alcha, OR 97007-5542

No. of Copies rec'd

FOR RHONDA MCDOUGALL

Complaint Type:		Account Type:	Congressional Compla
IC Number:	07-F0278945	Case Type:	1
Date Received:	06/15/2007	Complainant:	Rhonda Mcdougall
Date Entered:	06/27/2007	Date Assigned:	06/27/2007
Entered By:	PORTALSV1	Date Reassigned:	30/2/1/2007
Assigned To:	Judy Camel/FCCIN	Service Date:	
Date Closed:		Response Date:	
Closed By:		Original Analyst:	
Close Letter Needed?	Yes O No	Purged By:	Purged Date:
		Removed By:	Removed Date:
Supervisor Check:	○ Yes ● No	Indecency Referral Code:	1137
Apparent Carrier(s):		Wireline and Wireless carrier.	
Problem Number:			
Title: None	First Name: Rhonda	Middle Initial:	Last Name: Mcdougall
Contact Name:	Rhonda Mcdougall	Best Time to Call:	
Contact Number:	Ext.	Consumer's Telephone Number:	Ext.
Fax Number:		TTY Number:	
Email Address: PO Box:		Internet Address: Address:	
City:	Aloha		6900 Sw 195Th Ave Unit 181 Zip: 97007
	7.101.0	State: OR	21p. 97007
On Behalf Of:			
Company Name:			
Party's Name:			
Party's Contact Number: Ext.		Relationship with the Pa	arty:
Fairly's Contact Ni	umber: Ext.	PO Box: Address:	arty:
		PO Box:	arty:
Other Party that c		PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip:	arty:
Other Party that c	an be contacted?	PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip: Relationship:	arty:
Other Party that c		PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip: Relationship: Address:	arty:
Other Party that contact Number:	an be contacted?	PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip: Relationship:	arty:
Other Party that calling the c	an be contacted?	PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip: Relationship: Address:	

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b. Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved

with your complaint, including area code:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint:

Phone: Ext:

May 29, 2007

91-80

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL - 5 2007

Federal Communications Commission

Office of the Sacratary

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Washington, DC 20554

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard von Glahn 3901 Pikes Peak Rd Parker, CO 80138-4309

> No. of Copies rec'd D List A B C D E

FOR RICHARD VON GLAHN

		Account Type:	Congressional Complai
IC Number:	07-F0278513	Case Type:	1
Date Received:	06/13/2007	Complainant:	Richard Von Glahn
Date Entered:	06/21/2007	Date Assigned:	06/21/2007
Entered By:	PORTALSV1	Date Reassigned:	06/25/2007
Assigned To:	Judy Camel/FCCIN	Service Date:	
Date Closed:		Response Date:	
Closed By:		Original Analyst:	Minnie Caskey/FCCIN
Close Letter Needed?	Yes O No	Purged By:	Purged Date:
		Removed By:	Removed Date:
Supervisor Check:	○ Yes ● No	Indecency Referral Code:	A. A
minim			
Apparent Carrier(s):		Wireline and Wireless carrier.	
Apparent Carrier(s); Yes<<< Check he Problem Number:		Wireline and Wireless carrier. Middle Initial:	Last Name: Von Glahn
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<<< Check he Problem Number: Title: None	The II you wish to serve both a		Last Name: Von Glahn
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<< Check he Problem Number: Title: None Contact Name: Contact Number:	First Name: Richard	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call: Consumer's Telephone Nu	
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<< Check here Problem Number: Title: None Contact Name: Contact Number: Fax Number:	First Name: Richard Richard Von Glahn	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call: Consumer's Telephone Nul	
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<< Check here Problem Number: Title: None Contact Name: Contact Number: Fax Number: Email Address:	First Name: Richard Richard Von Glahn	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call: Consumer's Telephone Nul TTY Number: Internet Address:	mber: Ext.
Problem Number: Title: None	First Name: Richard Richard Von Glahn	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call: Consumer's Telephone Nul	
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<< Check he Problem Number: Title: None Contact Name: Contact Number: Fax Number: Email Address: PO Box: City:	First Name: Richard Richard Von Glahn Ext. Parker	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call: Consumer's Telephone Nut TTY Number: Internet Address: Address:	mber: Ext. 3901 Pikes Peak Rd Zip: 80138
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<< Check in Problem Number: Title: None Contact Name: Contact Number: Fax Number: Email Address: PO Box: City: On Behalf Of: Company Name: Party's Name: Party's Contact No	First Name: Richard Richard Von Glahn Ext. Parker	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call: Consumer's Telephone Nut TTY Number: Internet Address: Address: State: CO Relationship with (PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip:	mber: Ext. 3901 Pikes Peak Rd Zip: 80138
Apparent Carrier(s): Yes<< Check in Problem Number: Title: None Contact Name: Contact Number: Fax Number: Email Address: PO Box: City: On Behalf Of: Company Name: Party's Name: Party's Contact No Other Party that contact Number: Contact Number:	First Name: Richard Richard Von Glahn Ext. Parker	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call: Consumer's Telephone Nut TTY Number: Internet Address: Address: State: CO Relationship with the PO Box: Address: City:State:Zip:	mber: Ext. 3901 Pikes Peak Rd Zip: 80138

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b. Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved

with your complaint, including area code:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint:

Phone: Ext: